

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				\mathcal{M}
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,022	04/08/2005	Xavier Gibert	123070	9464
25944 7590 03/29/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		EXAMINER		
		•	KAVANAUGH, JOHN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3728	
SHORTENED STATISTON	V BEDIOD OF DESIDONAL		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHUKTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Application No. Applicant(s)					
10/527,022 GIBERT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Ted Kavanaugh 3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-14 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
The statement detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-8-2005</u> . 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species II (figures 8-9) in the reply filed on 10-12-2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a thorough search would encompass a search for the remaining species and therefore there examination can be made without a serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the searches are not the same and while the search may overlap the search would be burdensome and longer because the examiner would need to search for each distinct inventions or variations. Furthermore, the search is only part of the examination process, the MPEP 803 states "If the search and examination of an entire application ..." (underline added) can be made without serious burden". The examination of the application would be burdensome because the examiner would be required to apply art and rejections to each distinct and different species of invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 4-14 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10-12-2006

Application/Control Number: 10/527,022 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

4. Claims 1 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following terms lacks proper antecedent basis: Claim 1: "outer face", "inner face"

In claim 1, the phrase "comprises at least two elastically deformable components or parts corresponding respectively to two lateral points of bearing on the ground" is unclear and indefinite. By the use of the alternative expression "or" it is not clear if applicant is claiming the two elastically deformable components.

In claim 2, the "dynamic support element" lacks proper antecedent basis

Claim 15 is not understood since it appears to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,022

Art Unit: 3728

Page 4

6. Claims 1-3 and 15-18, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by each of the following: US 6393731 (Moua et al), US 6282814 (Krafsur et al) and US 4592153 (Jacinto).

Each of these patents (Moua, Krafsur and Jacinto) teach a shoe having structure as claimed including plates and elastically deformable elements (i.e. springs or rubber elements) incorporated between the plates in an arch and front part of the sole which represent a dynamic support element. The dynamic support element store and release energy when said sole is subject to lateral stresses.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- -- "A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Art Unit: 3728

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571) 273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Ted Kavanaugh</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562.

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK March 21, 2007